



## Te Kahu o Taonui CE Hui Minutes

Thursday 9<sup>th</sup> March 2023, 1-3pm

Via Zoom

PRESENT:	
Iwi	Name
Ngati Kuri	Not present
Te Aupōuri	Mariameno Kapa-Kingi (offline 1.30pm to 2pm)
NgāiTakoto	Craig Wells (apologies)
Te Rarawa	George Riley (offline 1.40pm to 2.15pm)
Ngāti Kahu	Dee-Ann Wolferstan
Kahukuraariki	Geraldine Baker
Whaingaroa	Bree Davis (left hui at 2.44pm)
Ngāpuhi	Sam Napia (Lead Iwi CE), Tia Ashby
Ngāti Hine	Rowena Tana
Ngātiwai	Huhana Lyndon
Te Roroa	Snow Tane (left hui at 2.47pm)
Ngāti Whātua	Antony Thompson, Alan Riwaka (left hui at 2.15pm)
Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	Hugh Karena (left hui at 1.44pm) Shania Howard (left hui at 1.44pm)
Te Kahu o Taonui Te Rōpū Ringa Raupā	Kiri Sloane-Hobson Dave Lasike Phill Grimshaw Peter Bruce-Iri Lisa Jones (minute taker via zoom recording)

**1. Meeting opened at 1:05pm by Sam Napia with karakia timatanga.**

ACTIONS FROM – 9 <sup>th</sup> MARCH 2023			
No.	Key Action/Task	Person(s) Responsible	When
1.	<b>LIMITED PARTNERSHIP ENTITIES</b> <i>Dee-Ann to send Sam information about limited partnership entities.</i> <i>Sam will research and seek advice on limited partnership entities and distribute to CEs.</i>	Dee-Ann Sam	
2.	<b>AMOKURA</b> <i>Sam will do some further thinking and research on Amokura and send through an information piece to CEs.</i>	Sam	
3.	<b>ADDITIONAL COMMENTS / FEEDBACK</b> <i>Kiri SH to check agenda links.</i> <i>Te Rōpū Ringa Raupā to write to FNDC and ask as to whether the committees have been restructured.</i> <i>Te Rōpū Ringa Raupā to send out paper on Starlink and Uber costings, should have gone out last week.</i>	Kiri / Sam	



## **2. APOLOGIES (NOTED)**

- Craig Wells, George Riley (arriving late)

## **3. NORTHLAND INTERSECTORAL FORUM – REGIONAL LEADERSHIP GROUP (PAPER) – HUGH KARENA**

Strengthening Māori Partnership in the NIF-RLG. Developing a Māori Model of Representation on the NIF-RLG Project (*screen shared*).

Hugh -

- At your last meeting Sam and Dee-Ann designated as representatives to work on this. Eru asked to convey the RLG is the ramped up and resourced old Northland Intersectoral Forum which is now more targeted.
- Māori and Crown working on key priority areas. Same areas in terms of health, social welfare governance group, economic, whai kainga housing, taiao environment.
- Work together to use the RLG as a way to push solutions for Te Taitokerau up to Wellington to try and get results.
- Using mahi tahi we will have unity of purpose and strength. Better outcomes for our people. Recognise there are other areas iwi want to individually pursue, fits in our model.
- Where there are strengths of working together, keen to do that, mindful to get the robust done with leaders you want to represent on the RLG, has to be up to māori as to who those are. Expertise in their fields.
- Phase One - have current co-Chairs working for RLG pre-covid, e.g. Mariameno, Toa, Harry but no māori leadership in health and taiao areas. Opportunity to identify who might want to take a lead in those areas.
- Phase Two - look at who will be the leaders, up to Te Kahu o Taonui to discuss any appropriate hapū people or providers. In the taiao space perhaps Mike Smith who mostly represents Greenpeace.
- Mindful people can't be experts in everything.
- After Phase Two, find who the governance people should be and what is our plan for back up in terms of ops and technology people. Who should be the right mandated person to speak on behalf of Te Taitokerau. Resources available to bring on board tech people to do analysis and number crunching that will lead the different workstreams so governance not having to do it all themselves. Can be based in Te Kahu o Taonui, Rūnanga or hapū etc.
- Māori representative Co-Chairing alongside a Crown or Community representative. e.g., in the economic sphere Paul Linton Northland Inc CE.
- Trying to find best person to lead the kaupapa of Phase One for up to 6 months. Phase Two is the long-standing person.
- \$200k into Te Kahu o Taonui for Phases One and Two; a further \$300k for operations and technology. Want people paid and their costs covered. Making sure it is properly resourced.
- Your views can be articulated at the RLG table.
- MSD – Mac Macpherson has been doing most of the running on this. Eru can step in with his role as Regional Commissioner. Mac kindly offered to work alongside and to awhi and Shania. Mac keen to see what kind of support is required at an advisor level, particularly to ensure mahi can be done and is well informed.
- Shania – this mahi came through from COVID money last year, currently nationally an underspend. Mac keen to see what kind of technical/operations support may be required.

### Korero:

- *Sam - Phase One - identify possible leaders to fill current interim RLG vacancies in Health and Taiao. Take as given current co-Chairs Nicole Devereux, Paul Linton, Chris Farrelly.*
  - *Confirm for the six months the co-Chairs Mariameno - community led mahi, Toa – workforce, Harry kainga.*



- *The 6-month period gives us opportunity to consider our work going into Phase Two.*
- *Once into Phase Two in May or June we can start to access funding the representative group.*
- *Want to fill those gaps and indicate commitment to this programme remembering we have almost drawn down \$200k*
- *Huhana - question to Te Kahu o Taonui. What are the representative's accountability back to Te Kahu o Taonui?, How do each of our working groups and those who patai report back - whether quarterly or monthly so we can provide feedback? An important forum, doesn't have to be a CEO or Chair, it can be a skilled member of our iwi. Reporting back on important matters. A bi-monthly approach, two pager workstream report for Kiri and the team to file so when we come together as Chairs or CEs we have visibility across each pou. Resourced is great. Use the forum well. Sometimes we lose traction when we are on business as usual. How do we use this forum for benefit of iwi, hapū, whānau?*
- *Sam to Hugh - is there a RLG Secretariat to fill the role of reporting, recording and administration? If so, we would be expecting the secretariat to provide the notes, observations, and other admin support so the Te Kahu o Taonui representative does not have to do that?*
- *Hugh - two people in Mac's office who deal with the RLG; Anika - minutes and distribution out; Greg - Principal Advisor - paperwork, reports to participants māori and non-māori.*
- *Sam - in terms of reports they will be distributed almost immediately after hui. In terms of Te Rōpū Ringa Raupā we can make sure in our regular CE meetings that those matters come forward for any further conversation. Another useful mechanism where we don't have to wait for our CE meetings. When reports come through, we can circulate and have conversations via email.*
- *Huhana - good response, let's put it back on the paid kaimahi of NIF to provide the regular reporting back to us. Link in the chain that has been missing.*
- *Hugh - we have been sharing meeting minutes with Mariameno, Harry etc, but have not had visibility of how that paperwork has gone on from there.*
- *Reports from RLG straight to Kiri to distribute.*
- *Mariameno - much is discussed at table but not in a consistent and recorded way, love the idea that a secretariat can take care of all that. I am ok to remain on the group with Nicole for the six months.*
- *Sam - to refresh memories of how we arrived at this. I wrote on behalf of Te Kahu o Taonui to Hugh and Mac and their valuable response and the point made strongly before Christmas was that none of us CEs have time to sit in a talk fest. The Crown's response of resourcing it and working with Hugh in these phases is an indication they have taken on board the questions and concerns raised so I think we are on the right track. Who will fill health and taiao vacancies?*
- *Dee-Ann - who has availability? Health – Tia's area, availability? What is the time investment needed?*
- *Hugh - at present the taiao group is not very active but with all the things going on it could be quite active. Need māori to get them going, might meet once in a six-month period, I suspect it is quiet because the DOC side is focused on other things. Potential to werowero.*
- *Antony - hauora space. Boyd would be ideal technical advisor, along with Tia. Preference for a Chair to be a Hauora Lead - Rowena or any other iwi who has a hauora lens.*
- *Rowena - I'm fairly new to this but anything that helps out our people. See what is actually involved, willing to help.*
- *Sam - taiao representative suggestion of Huhana. Health mix of suggestions - one is Tia to fill co-Chair role. Or Tia and Boyd to fill the tech role and Rowena to fill Chair role.*
- *Huhana - what Antony raised, where Boyd is coming into a building space in the IMPB but as a tech space for this, that would be great and if Rowena was there as Chair and Tia and Boyd as tech.*
- *Rowena yes, being there as Te Kahu o Taonui, not just Ngāti Hine, I am comfortable.*
- *Sam - as we move to phase two, Hugh said how will those people represent Te Kahu o Taonui, hapū, māori health providers etc? It is Te Kahu o Taonui at this stage to get active voices going, but then wider after that*
- *Tia - thank you for opportunity to korero, happy to go as co-Chair or co-Tech support.*
  - *Questions around compensation for the role – if we are providing this matauranga, is there anything coming back to Te Kahu o Taonui? An opportunity for data to be kept in some form of repository.*



*Draw it in from the Crown and we can share the two-way comms. That level of data analytics and reporting can come out of that, should be funded.*

- *Sam - we are funded for Phases One and Two for establishment and processes. The issue of how the various workplans are implemented is matters for the RLG itself and in the first sense, matters for those various groups e.g. health, social welfare etc. When workstreams come on board, how is the work of those going to be funded?*
- *Hugh – funded definitely, that is the other standard procedure. Those sorts of things and discussions, will be great to have māori spokespeople. How do we store and use the data for māori? What is the takoha back for the taonga of the matauranga and in terms of capability because I'm mindful Kiri is already doing ops work for Te Kahu o Taonui. How we support those people? Some of the takoha might not be in money but in the ability for e.g. a policy team that is partially supported by the ops and tech money.*
- *Sam – Hugh will sit with Kiri to work out the next steps of help and any ops needs; and Boyd and Tia being volunteered for the Health Tech role.*

#### **CALL OF VOTES FOR SUPPORT TO APPOINT HAUORA CHAIR AND TAIAO CO-CHAIR ROLE.**

**Raise of hands to appoint Tia in the Hauora Chair role (3 hands raised)**

**Raise of hands to appoint Rowena in the Hauora Chair role (2 hands raised)**

**Moved that Tia be appointed into the Hauora Chair Role.**

*Note – verbal error ka aroha Sam announced Rowena as Hauora Chair. The vote shows that Tia received the majority. Post hui this has been clarified and confirmed with all parties.*

**Huhana Lyndon accepted and supported to the Taiao Co-Chair role.**

#### **4. AGENDA AND OUTCOMES OF TE RŌPŪ RINGA RAUPĀ MEETING 10<sup>TH</sup> FEBRUARY 2023 (PAPER'S)**

- Three documents taken as read.
- Summary is the Brief Update Report on 10<sup>th</sup> February 2023 – Te Rōpū Ringa Raupā met to discuss range of topics and whole range of outcomes.

Korero:

- *Huhana – cabins, an ongoing headache. Sam agreed. Te Rōpū Ringa Raupā have worked well on that.*
- *Kiri - certificates of approval have been done and NorthBuild 12 cabins roll out next week, Te pūkenga cabins going out as soon as we get their certificate of acceptance.*

#### **5. BETTER OFF FUNDING - SAM**

- We have added another report in the last two days.
- Better off funding has been approved.
- Huhana – DIA has approved FNDC application in its entirety for tranche one.
- Kiri - from that patai, we proposed \$1m. George wanted to know what the costs were for. Wellington Council developed a portal of what is required. What do we want to see other than just consents, RMA's etc. Paper that Peter and Phill have put together. Wellington Council have another portal they used through SharePoint.

Korero:

- *Dee - when the portal is up, as iwi will we have access to it?*
- *Huhana - yes our kaitiaki and you will have levels of access according to what is agreed and depending on how you move. Might have kaimahi doing consents and then a policy person. One stop shop of RMA's,*



*governance, maps, CIA's, all the consent info, wastewater etc, will help Three Waters in terms of providing a tidy space. Will cost the \$1m being costed up now, but from experience of other councils we know it is big. This is the start \$400k we want to get out to the kāinga. Make it practical, what are your barriers? e.g 10 days to get a resource consent. Te Kahu o Taonui basically alongside FNDC providing a platform, but we go into our marae, our hapū to see what are their barriers.*

- *Other councils are looking at this too. Try and have a joined-up approach.*
- *Sam - thanks for your report Huhana and Kiri.*

## **6. REVIEW OF NORTH ISLAND CUSTOMARY REGULATIONS – (PAPER)**

- Memo (screenshared) 2<sup>nd</sup> March 2023 to Te Taitokerau CEs from Alan Riwaka Manahautu Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Whātua.

Purpose: to seek support from the Te Kahu o Taonui CEs to:

- a. support a review of the North Island Customary Regulations and;
  - b. support the development of a programme to implement customary tools across Te Taitokerau.
- In Alan's paper there are express recommendations

### **RECOMMENDATIONS MOVED**

Ngāti Kahu moves the recommendations and absolutely tautoko it (Dee-Ann).

**THE RECOMMENDATIONS HAVE BEEN MOVED WITH NO OPPOSING VIEWS THEREFORE THOSE RECOMMENDATIONS HAVE BEEN RESOLVED.**

## **7. PROGRAMME OF WORK**

- Started strategic planning conversations in September 2022. Toa, Craig Wells and Sam bringing back to this group and presenting what our thinking is, take on board you're thinking and whakaaro.

### **Structure Diagram (Paper)**

- September 2022 meeting in Kerikeri discussed purpose, values and establishing a work programme based on four Pou.
- Diagram captures up other conversations of which you will be aware - legal entities, in particular a legal entity to be the contracting party to e.g the Crown.
- Currently Te Rūnanga o Whaingaroa is the contracting party, significant risk for them. Thanks to Bree, Toa and Mariameno for taking up that role for all these years. The happy end of that is in sight provided the Chairs approve the recommendation that will be coming.
- Te Taitokerau Iwi; 12 Chairs associated with those iwi, first company is a 'holding company'
- To address points and concerns e.g. Margaret Mutu was reluctant to structure up legally and wants to ensure the mana of Ngāti Kahu rests with Ngāti Kahu and her accountability back to her people is exactly that. What would happen to those elements if Te Kahu o Taonui was to become a legal entity? Chairs have had at least two conversations about this.
- Holding Company is comprised of 12 shareholders, the 12 shareholders are the current 12 iwi who comprise Te Kahu o Taonui and is absolutely separate in the legal sense from the individual iwi; the Chairs in the legal sense are separated from the Holding Company Mana Hipoki Holdings Ltd
- If respective iwi choose to appoint their Chairs, they take on the responsibility under the Companies Act. This is fulfilling the concerns raised by Margaret and others, the appointment is a matter for those iwi.
- What would they own? Corporate shareholder of the Operations Company, where the business gets done - Mana Hipoki Operations Ltd





- Shareholders appoint Directors e.g. I have shares in Air NZ, as a shareholder I do not get to (like any other member of public), dabble in operations.
- Powers of the Shareholder Limited Liability Company means shareholders do not have any legal liability if they get something wrong. My liability as a shareholder is limited and along with that, have limited power. That is the traditional Companies Act environment.
- We are proposing something different in the case of the shareholders being the Chairs, they want more control. Te Kahu o Taonui Chairs will want to ensure that they are able to exercise control over the operations, dealings and business of the Operations Company. In order to exercise appropriate level of control, an Operations Company with a team are responsible for delivering on contracts worth millions.
- If you want a CE or their Operations Team responsible for operations and delivery, you do not want another 12 people telling them what to do.
- A cultural reality in terms of Te Kahu o Taonui, our Chairs ought to be in control but a balance is to be struck at the same time having some control and having the operations to have the scope of operations.
- Under the Companies Act it is not compulsory to have a Constitution but we propose we do, with approval of Annual Plan and Budget gives them a high measure of control that is not typical in the New Zealand corporate environment but we are dealing with iwi of Te Taitokerau of which we are not uncomfortable about that.
- The shareholders (12 Chairs) will also set financial delegation, fraud, procurement policies (the treasury policy). Three key financial policies in any substantive organisation and a cash management policy. We have the first two kind of. There is little need at present to have the treasury policy. Not approved yet for the financial delegation and the fraud policy but there is no detection of this at present. So, each of us are kept safe e.g. would not want to be a Director of a Company that does not put in place a delegation policy when we are dealing with a lot of money.
- We propose a typical New Zealand Company environment. Have the shareholders set and approve those policies and training plans. When you put those two things together - the power to approve the Annual Plan, Budget and these policies you render a high degree of control relatively to the shareholder.
- A level of control I have not seen held by a shareholder, but in our circumstances is an appropriate thing to suggest to our Chairs. The other side of that coin once those are set is to leave it to the Directors and operations of the Company to do the business.
- Then what becomes important is the accountability e.g. quarterly reporting to the Shareholding Company as we know in practice it is not easy to get all our Chairs in the same room.
- Iwi Chairs in essence have a high level of control over the Operations Company but the Operations Company has a high level of responsibility of reporting back to the Chairs. Allow the Operations Company to get on with the business.

Korero:

- *Dee-Ann – favourable in having limited liability in the second part of it. More favourable to limited partnerships as opposed to limited liability.*
  - *I'm involved with two other iwi modeling this differently; the holdings are more of a charitable arm and gives less risk.*
  - *Constitution for the second organisation - would rather use statement of investment policies and objective as it gives ownership, sets out distribution*
  - *Hear about the millions to Te Kahu o Taonui, where does that hit the ground? Are we going to be a development organisation? Still not clear, who has the mana motuhake here in terms of getting the ground here? Don't know if others are aware of those models but it seems to work well in those other iwi.*
  - *Recommend five Directors in the secondary group.*
- *Sam understood - in terms of the charitable aspect, both of them are charitable some call them not for profit or not for loss. But will have charitable purpose as approved by the IRD.*



- *Statements of investment etc, the Companies Act bestows upon them. When it comes to interpreting a particular scenario with regards to the Director's legal liability a statement of investment won't cut it, but a constitution will.*
- *Dee-Ann - ownership and distribution. A constitution doesn't mandate Directors in the second company to distribute or...*
- *Sam - putting in place both those instruments, have a Constitution so legal things are covered off and then have Statements of Intent so there is understanding between the Shareholders and the Directors.*
  - *What are we going to be?*
- *Alan - support Dee-Ann questions raised. How do we make sure that the decision making is aligned with our iwi?*
  - *Our iwi is out there doing whatever they are doing. Mana motuhake within each of the iwi themselves. How do we protect our iwi so it does not head in an opposite direction?*
- *Sam - answer might be the approvals of the Annual Plan and even longer-term plan. Might decide that the Company wants longer term plans associated with that. Look at the hierarchy of the instruments e.g. Annual Plan set by the 12 iwi. If the Annual Plan and all the things are supposed to be pursued and achieved, if they are not aligned that is a matter for the iwi Chairs.*
- *Alan - those are standard things I see, does not necessarily stop decisions being made in opposite direction. Just wanted to raise it. How we might be able to better manage that.*
- *Sam - if anybody else can come up with better ways, open to any suggestions to that.*

**ACTION:**

- ***Dee-Ann – to send Sam information about limited partnership entities.***
- ***Sam – will research and seek advice on limited partnership entities and distribute to CEs.***

**What are we going to be? What are we going to do?**

- Must be reflected in the work programme, whatever that will look like. Don't have one yet, but we do have a number of things right now. e.g., the cabins, taiao, IMPB. Correlating the elements under each Pou of our work programme. These are things we want to do. But doesn't answer what do we want to be, the answer to that is in our purpose statement right at the beginning – *Te Kahu o Taonui exists to advance the collective aspirations of Te Taitokerau iwi.* Answers the question of what we want to achieve.
- What we want to be still needs some teasing out but what we want to achieve in current financial year is what we are doing.
- Prior to covid there was formalised grouping of iwi authorities that became named as Te Kahu o Taonui. When covid came we all including the Crown were looking for delivery mechanisms. It was proposed correctly that Te Kahu o Taonui was a great and the most effective vehicle in achieving that for communities.
- Bunch of proven activity and so the Crown wanted to engage us more. Hit on being really good at this, hit a market and Crown wants to give more. Grew so fast, did not take time to plan strategically or structurally so this is our attempt at doing that. Can't be the be all and end all but this is our best capture of it so far.
- This is what are we going to do. This is based on what we are currently doing now.
- Another item on our agenda relevant is Rowena's whakaaro about Te Matatini. Not part of our current work programme. There were funding avenues to do that, this is a result of how we got to where we are, not how we planned to be. Other very successful places out there. We do not presently have that luxury, so the work programme is based on work we are doing now which is based on the revenue streams and contracts that are available to us. So the case for Te Matatini - nobody is funding us to be festival promoters or sponsors. In the case of Three Waters or Better Off Funding that arose as an opportunity during the financial year. In the case of Better Off Funding we were successful and that is why the portal becomes part of the work programme.
- Delivering on some stuff, our kamahi, us and Chairs are kept safe and that is why we need to structure up legally.
- We do not have details of the work programme and associated budget fleshed out yet, by the time we get to that there will be a proposed budget and annual plan put to our iwi Chairs.



- The extent to which the Company structures will be set up, cannot say. Approved policies by 1<sup>st</sup> July 2023 such as financial policies.

Korero:

- Rowena - parts of this I can agree with but there are parts I cannot from a Ngāti Hine point of view with our health trust, Ngāti Hine itself. How would it be perceived; would that undermine attempts by hapū and iwi themselves to try and garner funding? Government agencies, their behaviour, they look for one champion, will send all funding to Te Kahu o Taonui. So, if Ngāti Hine tries to get the funding, they say go to Te Kahu o Taonui. If it was a reality that would be a concern. IMPB – as you know we have our application in there at the moment with Te Paparahi, so I will not be able to support that on that basis.
- Sam - tēnā koe. Go back to purpose of Te Kahu o Taonui as we said in August meeting - to advance the collective interests of Te Taitokerau iwi. I have a large measure of support of sympathy and respect for your whakaaro because one of the key principles expressed in Te Kahu o Taonui Terms of Reference is equity. When Ngāpuhi comprises some 65% of the population within Te Taitokerau iwi, for Ngāpuhi to get 1 1/12<sup>th</sup> of distribution for e.g. cabins, not only does it not fit Te Kahu o Taonui itself but it does not act in best interest of my iwi if I was to wear my Ngāpuhi hat today. Do not have the luxury of sitting with a Ngāpuhi hat on as have been appointed as lead of Te Kahu o Taonui CEs and it includes collective interest. Not to disagree with what you have said, just to give some context. The culture is one of support. Always a culture of support when I have individual conversations with you with my Ngāpuhi hat on, I take a lot of comfort in that. When we go to structure up, trying to formally. In terms of IMPB I hear what you are saying. If we sit at this table, we are bound to consider the collective interests as led and modelled by our respective Chairs who also sit.
- Rowena - thank you. We have the interests of all people in Te Taitokerau at heart and it is not to dismiss any opportunities that the rest of Te Taitokerau have, or we as Ngāti Hine have as in hapū, as iwi in Te Taitokerau. My stand will be that I will not agree with it if there is a resolution put forward. But we tautoko if that is what the rest of iwi Chairs want.
- Dee-Ann - my whakaaro is who do we want to be? What we are doing right now is on a needs basis e.g. ILGACE. Who do we want to be in the end? For all of these pou we agreed if we are going to be commissioning the advocate, talk about it now. Do not get to 24 months and say this is what we are doing now. Lay it on the table if that is what you want to be then put it up.
- Geraldine – need to take info back and have a good look at it. take it apart and put it back together again. What has happened with, raised before, I know we need to take the burden off Te Rūnanga o Whaingaroa and this is being proposed as the vehicle to do that. What has happened with Amokura? Where is that info, what is happening there? We seem to be building here, there and everywhere without a constructive one plan for everything.
- Sam – Alan had asked for Amokura to be on the agenda. Disinclined to have anything verbal today. I looked up Amokura on the Companies Register, it is a limited liability company with seven shareholders. Cannot fit 12 iwi into seven. If we used Amokura as the vehicle we would have to do some thinking.
  - All those things are the same consideration when we got to this point. Not based on any existing structure, it is based on the culture of Te Kahu o Taonui and the iwi in Te Taitokerau. The legislative frame of refence e.g., the Companies Act. It applies to Amokura Ltd too. Nothing in my brief look at Amokura that would have allowed us to be at this point of conversation today. Amokura conversation was an important element to the whole conversation but it came late into this whole discussion. Open to considering Amokura further and for that purpose if the other CEs feel it is useful to, let us analyse this. Only did brief research, but for now Amokura does not fit. If for the only reason that it has seven shareholders.

**ACTION:**

- **Sam – we will do some further thinking and research on Amokura and send through an information piece to CEs.**

**PROGRAMME OF WORK AS RECEIVED.**





## **8. UPDATE ON SUBMISSIONS (PAPER)**

- Links go to submissions made on behalf of Te Kahu o Taonui. Phill is available if you have any specific questions on those.
- Please take those pieces of information for your information.

## **9. TE TAITOKERAU MĀORI SPORTS AWARDS (PAPER)**

- Link to the report accompanying this item. Take that report as read.

### **RECOMMENDATION**

**Te Rōpū Ringa Raupā work with Sport Northland and provide to the Chairs a proposed MoU for their determination as the current one is dated 2017 and it clearly would benefit from being updated.**

- Harry is the Te Kahu o Taonui representative for this space. Sits on the tepu, is he a trustee of Sports Northland?
- All the tech details will come to light, be sorted and worked out.

**RECOMMENDATED AGREED WITH NO OPPOSITION NOTED.**

## **10. TE MATATINI (PAPER)**

- Link to the report. Report is there, take it as read.

### **Korero:**

- *Rowena - I asked for this to be put on the agenda, the paper does not reflect my thinking. The reason for it to be put up was that I was disappointed that none of our kapa made the final day.*
  - *Propose we have our own in Te Taitokerau, does not have to be a Matatini.*
  - *Matatini, in my view, is very polished and there are limited numbers that can perform on stage at any one time. Does not seem to have nga tamariki in there. Something that Te Kahu o Taonui or Te Taitokerau could work on together is having our own kapa haka event.*
  - *I know we have them in the schools etc but where is for the iwi, the hapū, the marae? Where we can all come together and do something positive together. Because although our kapa were awesome and a lot of those kapa that performed are from Te Taitokerau, where is our opportunity to showcase ourselves? Let's do that at showcasing ourselves maybe in alternate year of Matatini. Thank you for writing that up for me, I will write up my own next time.*
- *Sam in the alternate years Ngahau ā-rohe are held, one that is regionals.*

### **RECOMMENDATION**

**That Te Kahu o Taonui does not take on a role of kapa haka promoter in Te Taitokerau; but that we express our support for the promoters who are in place.**

- Overseen by Waitangi Cultural Trust. Report is saying is that there are some awesome kapa haka events throughout Te Taitokerau, Te Taitokerau Festival overseen by whoever coordinates that. What was suggested was that Te Kahu o Taonui enter that space and work with others or facilitate an event in between, but that event in between is already happening. Thinking behind the recommendation, it is not part of our current work programme and neither are there any funding streams that would allow Te Kahu o Taonui Te Rōpū Ringa Raupā, but there are awesome things happening by other promoters and perhaps they have ways we can support them.



Korero:

- *Dee- I appreciate Te Matatini is amazing but because there is some bias there, we are not getting in. I agree with Rowena. Historically Te Taitokerau has not got anywhere near the top on the last day and that is a shame and I have said this to my own whānau, is there some bias that not one of us did not make it to the last day? I have seen where others have pulled out to honour our own event. I felt we were ripped off hearty. Three tribes make it through, where are we?*

**SUGGESTION**

- If there are a group of CEs online that are sufficiently motivated to go away and do some work on it and come back to us. Similar to how the three CEs did the mahi and brought the Structure Diagram back. Acceptable way to progress this?

Korero:

- *Huhana - I spoke with Ropata Diamond. They are keen to have a korero with us. Maybe as an action we look to ask for the hui and scope it out because they are the experts in that space. Let's grow it and can we do something like that and to call our relations down the line to contribute.*

**UPDATED RECOMMENDATION**

**That Rowena will lead a working group of those who want to participate and in the first instance initiate a hui with the Waitangi Cultural Trust.**

**Addition to that recommendation - that the working group is not authorised to commit Te Kahu o Taonui to funding it however make them aware of our support.**

**RECOMMENDATION AGREED WITH NO OPPOSITION NOTED.**

Happy to progress this (Rowena).

**10. PREVIOUS CHIEF EXECUTIVE MINUTES – 20<sup>th</sup> JANUARY 2023 (PAPER)**

- Minutes of last meeting 20<sup>th</sup> January 2023.

***Motion: Moved that the minutes from previous CE hui held 20<sup>th</sup> January 2023 be accepted as a true and accurate record.***

***Moved by Sam Napia  
All in favour  
Carried***

**ADDITIONAL COMMENTS / FEEDBACK**

Korero:

- *Rowena – to Kiri, Chrissy, Mariameno, Snow and others that have worked very hard for the people of Te Taitokerau during cyclone response, and all the other things your have done, thank you for all your mahi.*
- *George & Dee Ann – agenda link did not provide the minutes, took me to MoU's.*
- *Huhana – 14<sup>th</sup> July 2022 FNDC by way of resolution sought to appoint some whanaunga onto their standing committees. Want to seek from FNDC as to whether any of these happened.*
- *Geraldine – apologies to Kiri and the team for being grumpy. Starlink update?*



- *Mariameno – First house of 16 has landed in Te Kao. More than just a number, great news to share, utterly impressed with project team – Mihi Harris leading. Just reached 100 tanks, huge push forward, very glad. Census extended for two months.*

**ACTION:**

- *Kiri SH – to check agenda links.*
- *Te Rōpū Ringa Raupā – to write to FNDC and ask as to whether the committees have been restructured.*
- *Te Rōpū Ringa Raupā – to send out paper on Starlink and Uber costings, should have gone out last week*

Meeting closed at 2.53pm by Mariameno with karakia Whakamutunga.